arXiv:1704.07035v1 [math-ph] 24 Apr 2017

Elliptic supersymmetric integrable model and multivariable elliptic functions

Kohei Motegi *

Faculty of Marine Technology, Tokyo University of Marine Science and Technology, Etchujima 2-1-6, Koto-Ku, Tokyo, 135-8533, Japan

April 25, 2017

Abstract

We investigate the elliptic supersymmetric $gl(1|1)$ integrable model introduced by Deguchi and Martin, which is an elliptic extension of the Perk-Schultz model. We introduce and study the wavefunctions of the elliptic model. We first make a face-type version of the Izergin-Korepin analysis to give characterizations of the wavefunctions. We then show that the wavefunctions are expressed as a multivariable elliptic functions which is a product of elliptic factors and elliptic Schur-type symmetric functions. The correspondence obtained resembles the recent works by number theorists which the correspondence between the wavefunctions and the product of the deformed Vandermonde determinant and Schur functions was established.

1 Introduction

In the field of statistical physics and field theory, integrable models [1, 2, 3, 4] play special roles not only because many physical quantities can be computed exactly but also because of its deep connections with mathematics. One of the highlights is the discovery of quantum groups [5, 6] through the investigations of the R-matrix which is the most local object in integrable models. From the point of view of integrable models, quantum groups are related with the trigonometric models whose matrix elements are given by trigonometric functions. There is a class of elliptic integrable models whose matrix elements are generalized to elliptic functions. The most famous one is the Baxter's eight-vertex model [7]. Investigating the underlying structures, several versions of the elliptic quantum groups have been formulated and studied [8, 9, 10, 11, 12]. There are also developments on partition functions of elliptic integrable models, which are global objects constructed from R-matrices. For the case of elliptic models, the models are often analyzed by transforming to the face models which are connected with the vertex models via the vertex-face transformation. There are extensive studies on the

*E-mail: kmoteg0@kaiyodai.ac.jp

partition functions of this type of elliptic models [13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21] , ranging from the domain wall boundary partition functions to wavefunctions, by developing various methods to study integrable models.

The models we described above are about models related with the Baxter's eight-vertex model. In this paper, we investigate another type of elliptic integrable models, which was introduced by Deguchi and Martin [22]. The Deguchi-Martin model was introduced to construct an elliptic extension of the Perk-Schultz model [23], which is a trigonometric model which the quantum superalgebra structure is underlying [24], hence is called as supersymmetric integrable models. The elliptic versions of supersymmetric models seem to be interesting since the trigonometric version of the model were found to have connections with the field of algebraic combinatorics in recent years. Number theorists Bump-Brubaker-Friedberg [25] found that the wavefunctions of a free-fermion model in an external field gives rise to an integrable model realization of the Tokuyama formula for the Schur functions [26] (see also [27, 28, 29] for pioneering works on the variations of the Tokuyama formula). Tokuyama formula is a one-parameter deformation of the Weyl character formula for the Schur functions. This fundamental result lead people to find generalizations and variations of the Tokuyama-type formula for various types of symmetric functions [30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38]. The latest topic is the introduction of the notion of the metaplectic ice which is explicitly constructed in [36] by twisting the higher rank Perk-Schultz models.

As for the domain wall boundary partition function, the celebrated Izergin-Korepin analysis [39, 40] was performed and observations of the factorization phenomena on the domain wall boundary partition functions was found for the Perk-Schultz model and the cloesely related Felderhof free-fermion model [41] in [42, 43] (see also [44] for an application to correlation functions). This factorization formula for the domain wall boundary partition functions cannot be observed in general for the case of the $U_q(sl_2)$ six-vertex models. Instead, a determinant formula called as the Izergin-Korepin determinant was already obtained in 1980s [39, 40]. The factorization phenomena for the Perk-Schultz and Felderhof models was extended to the elliptic models [45, 46]. For example, an extensive study on the domain wall boundary partition functions of the Deguchi-Martin model was performed in the PhD thesis of Zuparic [46].

In this paper, focusing on the $gl(1|1)$ Deguchi-Martin model, we introduce and investigate the explicit forms of the wavefunctions, which is a more general class of partition functions and include the domain wall boundary partition functions as a special case. We apply the Izergin-Korepin technique to study wavefunctions which was first applied to the $U_q(sl_2)$ six-vertex model in [47]. We view the wavefunctions as an elliptic polynomial of the parameters in the quantum spaces, characterize its properties by using the Izergin-Korepin analysis, and connect wavefunctions between different sizes. We then prove that the wavefunctions are expressed as a certain multivariable elliptic functions, which is a product of elliptic factors and symmetric functions which can be regarded as an elliptic version of the Schur functions. The result resembles the one for the trigonometric model whose wavefunctions are given as the product of a one-parameter deformation of the Vandermonde determinant and the (factorial) Schur functions [25, 33], hence can be viewed as an elliptic analogue of the result for the trigonometric model.

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we first review the properties of elliptic functions, and introduce the $gl(1|1)$ Deguchi-Martin model and the wavefunctions of the model. We make the Izergin-Korepin analysis in section 3 and list the properties needed

to uniquely determine the explicit form of the wavefunctions. We prove in section 4 that a certain product of elliptic factors and symmetric functions satisfies all the required properties which are extracted from the Izergin-Korepin analysis. Section 5 is devoted to the conclusion of this paper.

2 Elliptic functions and Deguchi-Martin model

In this section, we first review the properties of elliptic functions, and introduce the Deguchi-Martin $gl(1|1)$ model, following Deguchi-Martin [22] and the PhD thesis of Zuparic [46].

2.1 Elliptic functions

We first introduce elliptic functions and list the properties needed in this paper. The half period magnitudes K_1 and K_2 are defined for elliptic nome q $(0 < q < 1)$ as

$$K_1 = \frac{1}{2}\pi \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\left\{ \frac{1+q^{2n-1}}{1-q^{2n-1}} \right\} \left\{ \frac{1-q^{2n}}{1+q^{2n}} \right\} \right)^2, \tag{2.1}$$

$$K_2 = -\frac{1}{\pi} K_1 \log(q), \tag{2.2}$$

The theta functions $H(u)$ is defined using K_1, K_2 and q as

$$H(u) = 2q^{\frac{1}{4}} \sin\left(\frac{\pi u}{2K_1}\right) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ 1 - 2q^{2n}\cos\left(\frac{\pi u}{K_1}\right) + q^{4n} \right\} \{1-q^{2n}\}. \tag{2.3}$$

The important properties of the theta functions are quasi-periodicities

$$H(u + 2mK_1) = (-1)^m H(u), \tag{2.4}$$

$$H(u + 2inK_2) = (-1)^n q^{-n^2} \exp\left(-\frac{in\pi u}{K_1} \right) H(u), \tag{2.5}$$

for integers m and n. Also note that $H(u)$ is an odd function $H(-u) = -H(u)$.

In the analysis of face model domain wall boundary partition functions by Pakuliak-Rubtsov-Silantyev [14] (see also Felder-Schorr [48]), the notions and properties of elliptic polynomials were used. Let us recall here.

A character is a group homomorphism χ from multiplicative groups $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z} + \tau\mathbf{Z}$ to $\mathbf{C}^\times$. An N-dimensional space $\Theta_N(\chi)$ is defined for each character χ and positive integer N, which consists of holomorphic functions $\phi(y)$ on $\mathbf{C}$ satisfying the quasi-periodicities

$$\phi(y+1) = \chi(1)\phi(y), \tag{2.6}$$

$$\phi(y+\tau) = \chi(\tau) e^{-2\pi i N y - \pi i N \tau} \phi(y). \tag{2.7}$$

The elements of the space $\Theta_N(\chi)$ are called elliptic polynomials. The fact that the space $\Theta_N(\chi)$ is N-dimensional and the following proposition

Proposition 2.1. *[14, 48] Suppose there are two elliptic polynomials $P(y)$ and $Q(y)$ in $\Theta_N(\chi)$, where $\chi(1) = (-1)^N$, $\chi(\tau) = (-1)^N e^{\alpha}$. If those two polynomials are equal $P(y_j) = Q(y_j)$ at N points y_j, $j = 1, \ldots, N$ satisfying $y_j - y_k \notin \Gamma$, $\sum_{k=1}^{N} y_k - \alpha \notin \Gamma$, then the two polynomials are exactly the same $P(y) = Q(y)$.*

was used for the analysis on the domain wall boundary partition functions of the eight-vertex solid-on-solid model [49]. This is an elliptic version of the fact that if $P(y)$ and $Q(y)$ are polynomials of degree $N-1$ in y, and if these polynomials match at N distinct points, then the two polynomials are exactly the same. We use these properties for the Izergin-Korepin anlaysis of the wavefunctions of the elliptic Deguchi-Martin model.

2.2 Deguchi-Martin model

We introduce the Deguchi-Martin $gl(1|1)$ (elliptic supersymmetric integrable) model. Deguchi-Martin model is an elliptic generalization of the trigonometric Perk-Schultz vertex model, and is a face model, which is a convenient description for constructing elliptic integrable models. The face models have additional state variables coming from state vectors besides the spectral parameters and inhomogeneous parameters which the vertex models also have. The state variables are essentially the state vectors of the face model, and for the case of the Deguchi-Martin $gl(1|1)$ model, The state variables are elements of $\mathbf{Z}^2$. Keeping this is mind, we introduce two unit vectors $\hat{e}_1 = \{1, 0\}$ and $\hat{e}_2 = \{0, 1\}$ of $\mathbf{Z}^2$. We also introduce the complex variables ω_{12} and ω_{21} connected by $\omega_{12} = -\omega_{21}$, and $\omega_{11} = \omega_{22} = 0$, $\epsilon_1 = 1$, $\epsilon_2 = -1$, which are introduced for the purpose of describing the model.

Each face is labeled by the four state vectors associated with the four vertices around the face, and the spectral parameters and inhomogeneous parameters. One can think that the spectral and inhomogeneous parameters are carried by lines penetrating the vertical and horizaontal edges. We denote the weights associated with the state vectors $\overrightarrow{a}$, $\overrightarrow{b}$, $\overrightarrow{c}$, $\overrightarrow{d}$, spectral parameter u and inhomogeneous parameter v by (Figure 1)

$$W\left(\begin{array}{cc} \overrightarrow{a} & \overrightarrow{b} \\ \overrightarrow{c} & \overrightarrow{d} \end{array} \middle| u \middle| v \right). \tag{2.8}$$

To define the weights, one introduces the following notation for convenience

$$[u] = H(\lambda u), \tag{2.9}$$

for a fixed complex variable λ. In this notation, the quasi-periodicities are

$$\left[u + \frac{2K_1}{\lambda} \right] = -[u], \tag{2.10}$$

$$\left[u + 2i\frac{K_2}{\lambda} \right] = -q^{-1} \exp\left(-\frac{i\pi\lambda u}{K_1} \right) [u]. \tag{2.11}$$



Figure 1: A graphical description of a face of the face model. The state vectors are associated at four vertices, and a spectral parameter u and an inhomogenous parameter v are also associated with the integrable lattice models. A weight is associated for each fixed state vectors, which is denoted by (2.8).

The weights for the $gl(1|1)$ Deguchi-Martin model is defined as (Figure 2)

$$W\left(\begin{array}{cc}\overrightarrow{a} & \overrightarrow{a}+\hat{e}_j\\ \overrightarrow{a}+\hat{e}_j & \overrightarrow{a}+2\hat{e}_j\end{array}\middle|u\middle|v\right)=\frac{[1+\epsilon_j(u-v)]}{[1]},\quad j=1,2, \tag{2.12}$$

$$W\left(\begin{array}{cc}\overrightarrow{a} & \overrightarrow{a}+\hat{e}_k\\ \overrightarrow{a}+\hat{e}_j & \overrightarrow{a}+\hat{e}_j+\hat{e}_k\end{array}\middle|u\middle|v\right)=\frac{[u-v][a_{jk}-1]}{[1][a_{jk}]},\quad (j,k)=(1,2),(2,1), \tag{2.13}$$

$$W\left(\begin{array}{cc}\overrightarrow{a} & \overrightarrow{a}+\hat{e}_j\\ \overrightarrow{a}+\hat{e}_j & \overrightarrow{a}+\hat{e}_j+\hat{e}_k\end{array}\middle|u\middle|v\right)=\frac{[a_{jk}-(u-v)]}{[a_{jk}]},\quad (j,k)=(1,2),(2,1), \tag{2.14}$$

when the state vector on the top left corner vertex is $\overrightarrow{a}\in\mathbf{Z}^2$. Here, a_{jk} is defined as $a_{jk}=\epsilon_j a_j-\epsilon_k a_k+\omega_{jk}$. Since we are dealing with the $gl(1|1)$ model, the a_{jk}s appearing in this paper are $a_{12}=a_1+a_2+\omega_{12}$ and $a_{21}=-a_1-a_2+\omega_{21}$. Moreover, since $\omega_{12}=-\omega_{21}$, we have $a_{12}=-a_{21}$ and we essentially need only a_{12}.

All the other weights of $W\left(\begin{array}{cc}\overrightarrow{a} & \overrightarrow{b}\\ \overrightarrow{c} & \overrightarrow{d}\end{array}\middle|u\middle|v\right)$ which cannot be written in the form of (2.12), (2.13), (2.14) are defined as zero.

The weights (2.12), (2.13), (2.14) satisfy the face-type Yang-Baxter relation (Figure 3)

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\overrightarrow{g}\in\mathbf{Z}^2}W\left(\begin{array}{cc}\overrightarrow{f} & \overrightarrow{g}\\ \overrightarrow{a} & \overrightarrow{b}\end{array}\middle|u_1\middle|u_3\right)W\left(\begin{array}{cc}\overrightarrow{e} & \overrightarrow{d}\\ \overrightarrow{f} & \overrightarrow{g}\end{array}\middle|u_2\middle|u_3\right)W\left(\begin{array}{cc}\overrightarrow{d} & \overrightarrow{c}\\ \overrightarrow{g} & \overrightarrow{b}\end{array}\middle|u_2\middle|u_1\right)\\ =&\sum_{\overrightarrow{g}\in\mathbf{Z}^2}W\left(\begin{array}{cc}\overrightarrow{e} & \overrightarrow{d}\\ \overrightarrow{g} & \overrightarrow{c}\end{array}\middle|u_1\middle|u_3\right)W\left(\begin{array}{cc}\overrightarrow{g} & \overrightarrow{c}\\ \overrightarrow{a} & \overrightarrow{b}\end{array}\middle|u_2\middle|u_3\right)W\left(\begin{array}{cc}\overrightarrow{e} & \overrightarrow{g}\\ \overrightarrow{f} & \overrightarrow{a}\end{array}\middle|u_2\middle|u_1\right).\end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$



Figure 2: The weights for the $gl(1|1)$ Deguchi-Martin model (2.12), (2.13), (2.14) associated with the configurations.

One next introduces the notation for the product of weights (Figure 4) to describe the wavefunctions

$$
\begin{aligned}
&T^j_M\left(\begin{array}{cccc}\overrightarrow{a^j_0} & \overrightarrow{a^j_1} & \cdots & \overrightarrow{a^j_M}\\ \overrightarrow{b^j_0} & \overrightarrow{b^j_1} & \cdots & \overrightarrow{b^j_M}\end{array}\middle|u\middle|v_1,\ldots,v_M\right)\\
=&W\left(\begin{array}{cc}\overrightarrow{a^j_0} & \overrightarrow{a^j_1}\\ \overrightarrow{b^j_0} & \overrightarrow{b^j_1}\end{array}\middle|u\middle|v_1\right)W\left(\begin{array}{cc}\overrightarrow{a^j_1} & \overrightarrow{a^j_2}\\ \overrightarrow{b^j_1} & \overrightarrow{b^j_2}\end{array}\middle|u\middle|v_2\right)\cdots W\left(\begin{array}{cc}\overrightarrow{a^j_{M-1}} & \overrightarrow{a^j_M}\\ \overrightarrow{b^j_{M-1}} & \overrightarrow{b^j_M}\end{array}\middle|u\middle|v_M\right).
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.16}
$$

We now introduce the wavefunctions of the elliptic supersymmetric Deguchi-Martin model using the product of weights (2.16) as the following

$$
\begin{aligned}
&W_{M,N}(u_1,\ldots,u_N|v_1,\ldots,v_M|x_1,\ldots,x_N|a_{12}) = \sum_{\{\overrightarrow{b^0}\},\{\overrightarrow{b^1}\},\ldots,\{\overrightarrow{b^{N-2}}\}}\\
&T^0_M\left(\begin{array}{cccc}\overrightarrow{a_{12}} & \overrightarrow{a_{12}}+\hat{e}_1 & \cdots & \overrightarrow{a_{12}}+(M-1)\hat{e}_1 & \overrightarrow{a_{12}}+M\hat{e}_1\\ \overrightarrow{a_{12}}+\hat{e}_1 & \overrightarrow{b^0_1} & \cdots & \overrightarrow{b^0_{M-1}} & \overrightarrow{a_{12}}+M\hat{e}_1+\hat{e}_2\end{array}\middle|u_N\middle|v_1,\ldots,v_M\right)\\
&\times\prod_{j=1}^{N-2}T^j_M\left(\begin{array}{cccc}\overrightarrow{a_{12}}+j\hat{e}_1 & \overrightarrow{b^{j-1}_1} & \cdots & \overrightarrow{b^{j-1}_{M-1}} & \overrightarrow{a_{12}}+M\hat{e}_1+j\hat{e}_2\\ \overrightarrow{a_{12}}+(j+1)\hat{e}_1 & \overrightarrow{b^j_1} & \cdots & \overrightarrow{b^j_{M-1}} & \overrightarrow{a_{12}}+M\hat{e}_1+(j+1)\hat{e}_2\end{array}\middle|u_{N-j}\middle|v_1,\ldots,v_M\right)\\
&\times T^{N-1}_M\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}\overrightarrow{a_{12}}+(N-1)\hat{e}_1 & \overrightarrow{b^{N-2}_1} & \cdots & \overrightarrow{b^{N-2}_{M-1}} & \overrightarrow{a_{12}}+M\hat{e}_1+(N-1)\hat{e}_2\\ & \overrightarrow{c^{N-1}_1} & \cdots & \overrightarrow{c^{N-1}_{M-1}} & \overrightarrow{c^{N-1}_M}\end{array}\middle|u_1\middle|v_1,\ldots,v_M\right),
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.17}
$$



Figure 3: The face-type Yang-Baxter relation (2.15). We take the sum over the inner state vectors.

where $\overrightarrow{c_0^{N-1}}, \overrightarrow{c_1^{N-1}}, \dots, \overrightarrow{c_{M-1}^{N-1}}, \overrightarrow{c_M^{N-1}}$ are fixed using the sequence of integers $1 \le x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_N \le M$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overrightarrow{c_0^{N-1}}, \overrightarrow{c_1^{N-1}}, \dots, \overrightarrow{c_{M-1}^{N-1}}, \overrightarrow{c_M^{N-1}} \\
=&\overrightarrow{a_{12}} + N\hat{e}_1, \dots, \overrightarrow{a_{12}} + (N+x_1-1)\hat{e}_1, \overrightarrow{a_{12}} + (N+x_1-1)\hat{e}_1 + \hat{e}_2, \\
&\overrightarrow{a_{12}} + (N+x_1)\hat{e}_1 + \hat{e}_2, \dots, \overrightarrow{a_{12}} + (N+x_1+x_2-3)\hat{e}_1 + \hat{e}_2, \overrightarrow{a_{12}} + (N+x_1+x_2-3)\hat{e}_1 + 2\hat{e}_2, \\
&\overrightarrow{a_{12}} + (N+x_1+x_2-2)\hat{e}_1 + 2\hat{e}_2, \dots, \overrightarrow{a_{12}} + M\hat{e}_1 + N\hat{e}_2. \qquad (2.18)
\end{aligned}$$

The sequences of integers $1 \le x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_N \le M$ label the positions of bottom edges of the wavefunctions where the difference of the state vectors of adjacent vertices differ by $\hat{e}_2$. The integers $1 \le x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_N \le M$ is essentially labeling the state vectors of the bottom vertices.

The notation $\sum_{\{\overrightarrow{b^0}\},\{\overrightarrow{b^1}\},\dots,\{\overrightarrow{b^{N-2}}\}}$ in (2.17) means that we take the sum over all inner states $\overrightarrow{b_j^0}, \overrightarrow{b_j^1}, \dots, \overrightarrow{b_j^{N-2}}$, $j = 1, \dots, M-1$. (2.17) is a face-type analogue of the wavefunctions of the vertex models. We remark that from the definition of the weights (2.12),(2.13),(2.14), the dependence on the state vector $\overrightarrow{a_{12}} = (a_1, a_2)$ in the top left corner vertex is reflected to the wavefunction (2.17) in the form of the scalar quantity $a_{12} = a_1 + a_2 + \omega_{12}$, hence we write the wavefunction as $W_{M,N}(u_1, \dots, u_N | v_1, \dots, v_M | x_1, \dots, x_N | a_{12})$.

See Figures 5 and 6 for pictorial descriptions of (2.17). In the next section, we examine the properties of the wavefunctions.



Figure 4: The product of weights (2.16) associated with one row configurations.

3 Izergin-Korepin analysis

In this section, we extract the properties of the wavefunctions of the elliptic supersymmetric face model $W_{M,N}(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_M|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12})$. The method we use is the Izergin-Korepin analysis [39, 40].

Proposition 3.1. *The wavefunctions $W_{M,N}(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_M|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12})$ satisfies the following properties.*

(1) When $x_N = M$, the wavefunctions $W_{M,N}(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_M|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12})$, regarded as a polynomial in $y_M := \dfrac{\lambda}{2K_1}v_M$, is an elliptic polynomial in $\Theta_N(\chi)$.
(2) The wavefunctions $W_{M,N}(u_{\sigma(1)},\dots,u_{\sigma(N)}|v_1,\dots,v_M|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12})$ with the ordering of the spectral parameters permuted $u_{\sigma(1)},\dots,u_{\sigma(N)}$, $\sigma\in S_N$ are related with the unpermuted one $W_{M,N}(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_M|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12})$ by

$$\begin{aligned}&\prod_{\substack{1\le j<k\le N\\ \sigma(j)>\sigma(k)}}[1+u_{\sigma(k)}-u_{\sigma(j)}]W_{M,N}(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_M|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12})\\ =&\prod_{\substack{1\le j<k\le N\\ \sigma(j)>\sigma(k)}}[1+u_{\sigma(j)}-u_{\sigma(k)}]W_{M,N}(u_{\sigma(1)},\dots,u_{\sigma(N)}|v_1,\dots,v_M|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12}).\end{aligned}\tag{3.1}$$

(3) The following recursive relations between the wavefunctions hold if $x_N = M$ (Figure 8):

$$\begin{aligned}&W_{M,N}(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_M|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12})|_{v_M=u_N}\\ =&\prod_{j=1}^{N-1}\frac{[1-u_j+u_N]}{[1]}\prod_{j=1}^{M-1}\frac{[1+u_N-v_j]}{[1]}\\ &\times W_{M-1,N-1}(u_1,\dots,u_{N-1}|v_1,\dots,v_{M-1}|x_1,\dots,x_{N-1}|a_{12}+1).\end{aligned}\tag{3.2}$$



Figure 5: The wavefunctions $W_{M,N}(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_M|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12})$ (2.17).

If $x_N \neq M$, the following factorizations hold for the wavefunctions (Figure 9):

$$\begin{aligned}&W_{M,N}(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_M|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12})\\ =&\frac{[a_{21}-M-N+1]}{[a_{21}-M+1]}\prod_{j=1}^{N}\frac{[u_j-v_M]}{[1]}W_{M-1,N}(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_{M-1}|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12}).\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

(4) The following holds for the case $N=1$, $x_1=M$

$$W_{M,1}(u|v_1,\dots,v_M|M|a_{12})=\frac{[-u+v_M+a_{12}+M-1]}{[a_{12}+M-1]}\prod_{k=1}^{M-1}\frac{[1+u-v_k]}{[1]}. \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. Property (1), (2) and (3) for the case $x_N=M$ can be proved essentially in the same way with the domain wall boundary partition functions, which is given in the PhD thesis of Zuparic [46] (note there is a small differnce in the setting. For example, the ordering of the spectral parameters is inverted). One should just have to be reminded that one has to treat the case $x_N\neq M$ separately, which is given as the factorization formula of the wavefunctions in Property (3).

To show Property (1), we first decompose the wavefunctions satisfying $x_N=M$ in the following form as we do for the case of vertex models. We split the wavefunctions as a sum of the products of large partition functions and one-column partition functions (see Figure 7 for this decomposition)

$$\begin{aligned}&W_{M,N}(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_M|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12})\\ =&\sum_{j=0}^{N-1}P_j(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_{M-1}|x_1,\dots,x_{N-1}|a_{12})\widetilde{W}_j(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_M|a_{12}),\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$



Figure 6: An example $W_{6,4}(u_1,\dots,u_4|v_1,\dots,v_6|1,2,4,6|a_{12})$ of the wavefunctions (2.17). Note that $x_1=1$, $x_2=2$, $x_3=4$, $x_4=6$ labels the positions of the edges of the bottom part of the wavefunctions where the difference of the adjacent edges is $\hat{e}_2$. This essentially labels the state vectors in the bottom vertices.

where $\widetilde{W}_j(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_M|a_{12})$ are the one-column partition functions corresponding to the shaded part in Figure 7

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\widetilde{W}_j(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_M|a_{12})\\
&=\prod_{k=0}^{j-1} W\left(\begin{array}{cc}\overrightarrow{a_{12}}+(M-1)\hat{e}_1+k\hat{e}_2 & \overrightarrow{a_{12}}+M\hat{e}_1+k\hat{e}_2\\ \overrightarrow{a_{12}}+(M-1)\hat{e}_1+(k+1)\hat{e}_2 & \overrightarrow{a_{12}}+M\hat{e}_1+(k+1)\hat{e}_2\end{array}\middle|u_{N-k}\middle|v_M\right)\\
&\times W\left(\begin{array}{cc}\overrightarrow{a_{12}}+(M-1)\hat{e}_1+j\hat{e}_2 & \overrightarrow{a_{12}}+M\hat{e}_1+j\hat{e}_2\\ \overrightarrow{a_{12}}+M\hat{e}_1+j\hat{e}_2 & \overrightarrow{a_{12}}+M\hat{e}_1+(j+1)\hat{e}_2\end{array}\middle|u_{N-j}\middle|v_M\right)\\
&\times\prod_{k=j}^{N-2} W\left(\begin{array}{cc}\overrightarrow{a_{12}}+M\hat{e}_1+k\hat{e}_2 & \overrightarrow{a_{12}}+M\hat{e}_1+(k+1)\hat{e}_2\\ \overrightarrow{a_{12}}+M\hat{e}_1+(k+1)\hat{e}_2 & \overrightarrow{a_{12}}+M\hat{e}_1+(k+2)\hat{e}_2\end{array}\middle|u_{N-k-1}\middle|v_M\right).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.6}
$$

The large partition functions $P_j(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_{M-1}|x_1,\dots,x_{N-1}|a_{12})$ corresponding



Figure 7: A graphical description of a summand in the decompostion of the wavefunctions (3.5). The unshaded part and the shaded part corresponds to $P_j(u_1,\ldots,u_N|v_1,\ldots,v_{M-1}|x_1,\ldots,x_{N-1}|a_{12})$ (3.7) and $\widetilde{W}_j(u_1,\ldots,u_N|v_M|a_{12})$ (3.6) , respectively.

to the unshaded part in Figure 7 is explicitly written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_j(u_1,\ldots,u_N|v_1,\ldots,v_{M-1}|x_1,\ldots,x_{N-1}|a_{12}) = & \sum_{\{\overrightarrow{b^0}\},\{\overrightarrow{b^1}\},\ldots,\{\overrightarrow{b^{N-2}}\}} \\
& T^0_{M-1}\left(\begin{array}{cccc} \overrightarrow{a_{12}} & \overrightarrow{a_{12}}+\hat{e}_1 & \cdots & \overrightarrow{a_{12}}+(M-2)\hat{e}_1 \quad \overrightarrow{a_{12}}+(M-1)\hat{e}_1 \\ \overrightarrow{a_{12}}+\hat{e}_1 & \overrightarrow{b_1^0} & \cdots & \overrightarrow{b^0_{M-2}} \quad \overrightarrow{a_{12}}+(M-1)\hat{e}_1+\hat{e}_2 \end{array}\middle| u_N\right) \\
\times \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} & T^k_{M-1}\left(\begin{array}{cccc} \overrightarrow{a_{12}}+k\hat{e}_1 & \overrightarrow{b_1^{k-1}} & \cdots & \overrightarrow{b^{k-1}_{M-2}} \quad \overrightarrow{a_{12}}+(M-1)\hat{e}_1+k\hat{e}_2 \\ \overrightarrow{a_{12}}+(k+1)\hat{e}_1 & \overrightarrow{b_1^k} & \cdots & \overrightarrow{b^k_{M-2}} \quad \overrightarrow{a_{12}}+(M-1)\hat{e}_1+(k+1)\hat{e}_2 \end{array}\middle| u_{N-k}\right) \\
& \times T^j_{M-1}\left(\begin{array}{cccc} \overrightarrow{a_{12}}+j\hat{e}_1 & \overrightarrow{b_1^{j-1}} & \cdots & \overrightarrow{b^{j-1}_{M-2}} \quad \overrightarrow{a_{12}}+(M-1)\hat{e}_1+j\hat{e}_2 \\ \overrightarrow{a_{12}}+(j+1)\hat{e}_1 & \overrightarrow{b_1^j} & \cdots & \overrightarrow{b^j_{M-2}} \quad \overrightarrow{a_{12}}+M\hat{e}_1+j\hat{e}_2 \end{array}\middle| u_{N-j}\right) \\
\times \prod_{k=j+1}^{N-2} & T^k_{M-1}\left(\begin{array}{cccc} \overrightarrow{a_{12}}+k\hat{e}_1 & \overrightarrow{b_1^{k-1}} & \cdots & \overrightarrow{b^{k-1}_{M-2}} \quad \overrightarrow{a_{12}}+M\hat{e}_1+(k-1)\hat{e}_2 \\ \overrightarrow{a_{12}}+(k+1)\hat{e}_1 & \overrightarrow{b_1^k} & \cdots & \overrightarrow{b^k_{M-2}} \quad \overrightarrow{a_{12}}+M\hat{e}_1+k\hat{e}_2 \end{array}\middle| u_{N-k}\right) \\
& \times T^{N-1}_{M-1}\left(\begin{array}{cccc} \overrightarrow{a_{12}}+(N-1)\hat{e}_1 & \overrightarrow{b_1^{N-2}} & \cdots & \overrightarrow{b^{N-2}_{M-2}} \quad \overrightarrow{a_{12}}+M\hat{e}_1+(N-2)\hat{e}_2 \\ \overrightarrow{d_0^{N-1}} & \overrightarrow{d_1^{N-1}} & \cdots & \overrightarrow{d^{N-1}_{M-2}} \quad \overrightarrow{d^{N-1}_{M-1}} \end{array}\middle| u_1\right), \qquad (3.7)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\overrightarrow{d_0^{N-1}},\overrightarrow{d_1^{N-1}},\ldots,\overrightarrow{d^{N-1}_{M-2}},\overrightarrow{d^{N-1}_{M-1}}$ are fixed using the sequence of integers $1\le x_1<x_2<$



Figure 8: The recursion relation $W_{M,N}(u_1,\ldots,u_N|v_1,\ldots,v_M|x_1,\ldots,x_N|a_{12})$, $x_N=M$ evaluated at $v_M=u_N$ (3.2) . The states of the vertices around the shaded faces are freezed.

$\cdots < x_{N-1} \leq M-1$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\overrightarrow{d_0^{N-1}}, \overrightarrow{d_1^{N-1}}, \ldots, \overrightarrow{d_{M-2}^{N-1}}, \overrightarrow{d_{M-1}^{N-1}} \\
=&\overrightarrow{a_{12}}+N\hat{e}_1, \ldots, \overrightarrow{a_{12}}+(N+x_1-1)\hat{e}_1, \overrightarrow{a_{12}}+(N+x_1-1)\hat{e}_1+\hat{e}_2, \\
&\overrightarrow{a_{12}}+(N+x_1)\hat{e}_1+\hat{e}_2, \ldots, \overrightarrow{a_{12}}+(N+x_1+x_2-3)\hat{e}_1+\hat{e}_2, \overrightarrow{a_{12}}+(N+x_1+x_2-3)\hat{e}_1+2\hat{e}_2, \\
&\overrightarrow{a_{12}}+(N+x_1+x_2-2)\hat{e}_1+2\hat{e}_2, \ldots, \overrightarrow{a_{12}}+M\hat{e}_1+(N-1)\hat{e}_2. \qquad (3.8)
\end{aligned}
$$

We have omitted writing the dependence on the inhomogeneous parameters in T^k_{M-1} in (3.7) for lack of space. It depends on $v_1,\ldots,v_{M-1}$, but not on v_M. (3.7) is a long expression, but one just only needs to notice that it does not depend on the inhomogeneous parameter v_M, so we only have to examine the other parts (3.6) if one views the wavefunctions as a function of v_M. From the definition of the weights (2.12), (2.13), (2.14), $\widetilde{W}_j(u_1,\ldots,u_N|v_M|a_{12})$ is explicitly calculated as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{W}_j(u_1,\ldots,u_N|v_M|a_{12}) &= \frac{[a_{12}+M-1+j-u_{N-j}+v_M]}{[a_{12}+M-1+j]} \\
\times \prod_{k=N-j+1}^{N} \frac{[u_k-v_M][a_{21}-M+k-N]}{[1][a_{21}-M+1+k-N]} &\prod_{k=1}^{N-j-1} \frac{[1-u_k+v_M]}{[1]}. \qquad (3.9)
\end{aligned}
$$

We regard $\widetilde{W}_j(u_1,\ldots,u_N|v_M|a_{12})$ as a function of v_M. From the explicit form (3.9) and using (2.10) and (2.11), $a_{12}=-a_{21}$ and $[-u]=-[u]$, one easily calculates the quasi-



Figure 9: The factorization of $W_{M,N}(u_1,\ldots,u_N|v_1,\ldots,v_M|x_1,\ldots,x_N|a_{12})$, $x_N \neq M$ (3.3) . The states of the vertices around the shaded faces are freezed.

periodicities of the function $\widetilde{W}_j(u_1,\ldots,u_N|v_M|a_{12})$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\widetilde{W}_j(u_1,\ldots,u_N|v_M+2K_1/\lambda|a_{12})\\
=&(-1)^N\widetilde{W}_j(u_1,\ldots,u_N|v_M|a_{12}),
\end{aligned}\tag{3.10}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\widetilde{W}_j(u_1,\ldots,u_N|v_M+2iK_2/\lambda|a_{12})\\
=&(-q^{-1})^N\exp\left(-\frac{i\pi\lambda}{K_1}\left(Nv_M-\sum_{j=1}^N u_j+a_{12}+N+M-2\right)\right)\widetilde{W}_j(u_1,\ldots,u_N|v_M|a_{12}).
\end{aligned}\tag{3.11}$$

From (3.10), (3.11) and (3.5), one finds the same quasi-periodicities also hold for the wave-functions

$$\begin{aligned}
&W_{M,N}(u_1,\ldots,u_N|v_1,\ldots,v_M+2K_1/\lambda|x_1,\ldots,x_N|a_{12})\\
=&(-1)^N W_{M,N}(u_1,\ldots,u_N|v_1,\ldots,v_M|x_1,\ldots,x_N|a_{12}),
\end{aligned}\tag{3.12}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&W_{M,N}(u_1,\ldots,u_N|v_1,\ldots,v_M+2iK_2/\lambda|x_1,\ldots,x_N|a_{12})\\
=&(-q^{-1})^N\exp\left(-\frac{i\pi\lambda}{K_1}\left(Nv_M-\sum_{j=1}^N u_j+a_{12}+N+M-2\right)\right)\\
&\times W_{M,N}(u_1,\ldots,u_N|v_1,\ldots,v_M|x_1,\ldots,x_N|a_{12}).
\end{aligned}\tag{3.13}$$

If we rescale the parameter from v_m to $y_M := \dfrac{\lambda}{2K_1}v_M$, and define $\phi(y_M)$ as

$$\phi(y_M) = W_{M,N}(u_1,\ldots,u_N|v_1,\ldots,v_M|x_1,\ldots,x_N|a_{12}),\tag{3.14}$$

the quasi-periodicities (3.12) and (3.13) for $W_{M,N}(u_1,\ldots,u_N|v_1,\ldots,v_M|x_1,\ldots,x_N|a_{12})$ can

be rewritten as a function $\phi(y_M)$ of y_M as

$$\phi(y_M+1)=(-1)^N\phi(y_M), \tag{3.15}$$

$$\phi(y_M+\tau)=(-1)^N\exp\left(\frac{\pi\lambda\tau}{K_2}\left(\sum_{j=1}^N u_j-a_{12}-N-M+2\right)\right)e^{-2\pi iNy_M-\pi iN\tau}\phi(y_M), \tag{3.16}$$

where the nome τ is given by $\tau=i\dfrac{K_2}{K_1}$. These are exactly the quasi-periodicities (2.6), (2.7) with the characters $\chi(1)=(-1)^N$, $\chi(\tau)=(-1)^N\exp\left(\dfrac{\pi\lambda\tau}{K_2}\left(\sum_{j=1}^N u_j-a_{12}-N-M+2\right)\right)$. Hence, it is an elliptic polynomial in $\Theta_N(\chi)$.

Property (2) can also be shown in a standard way. By attaching a face having the weight $W\left(\begin{array}{cc}\overrightarrow{a_{12}}+M\hat{e}_1+(N-j-1)\hat{e}_2 & \overrightarrow{a_{12}}+M\hat{e}_1+(N-j)\hat{e}_2\\ \overrightarrow{a_{12}}+M\hat{e}_1+(N-j)\hat{e}_2 & \overrightarrow{a_{12}}+M\hat{e}_1+(N-j+1)\hat{e}_2\end{array}\middle|u_{j+1}\middle|u_j\right)$ on the right side of the wavefunctions $W_{M,N}(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_M|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12})$ and using the face-type Yang-Baxter relation (2.15) repeatedly, a face having the weight $W\left(\begin{array}{cc}\overrightarrow{a_{12}}+(N-j-1)\hat{e}_1 & \overrightarrow{a_{12}}+(N-j)\hat{e}_1\\ \overrightarrow{a_{12}}+(N-j)\hat{e}_1 & \overrightarrow{a_{12}}+(N-j+1)\hat{e}_1\end{array}\middle|u_{j+1}\middle|u_j\right)$ gets out of the left side of the wavefunctions. The ordering of the spectral parameters of the wavefunctions is now changed which is $W_{M,N}(u_1,\dots,u_{j+1},u_j,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_M|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12})$, and we have

$$\begin{aligned}&W\left(\begin{array}{cc}\overrightarrow{a_{12}}+M\hat{e}_1+(N-j-1)\hat{e}_2 & \overrightarrow{a_{12}}+M\hat{e}_1+(N-j)\hat{e}_2\\ \overrightarrow{a_{12}}+M\hat{e}_1+(N-j)\hat{e}_2 & \overrightarrow{a_{12}}+M\hat{e}_1+(N-j+1)\hat{e}_2\end{array}\middle|u_{j+1}\middle|u_j\right)\\ &\times W_{M,N}(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_M|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12})\\ =&W\left(\begin{array}{cc}\overrightarrow{a_{12}}+(N-j-1)\hat{e}_1 & \overrightarrow{a_{12}}+(N-j)\hat{e}_1\\ \overrightarrow{a_{12}}+(N-j)\hat{e}_1 & \overrightarrow{a_{12}}+(N-j+1)\hat{e}_1\end{array}\middle|u_{j+1}\middle|u_j\right)\\ &\times W_{M,N}(u_1,\dots,u_{j+1},u_j,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_M|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12}).\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Simplifying, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&[1+u_j-u_{j+1}]W_{M,N}(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_M|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12})\\ =&[1+u_{j+1}-u_j]W_{M,N}(u_1,\dots,u_{j+1},u_j,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_M|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12}).\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

The general case (3.1) can be obtained by using the commutation relation (3.18) repeatedly. For example, the case $N=3$, $\sigma=(3,1,2)$ can be shown as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}&W_{M,3}(u_1,u_2,u_3|v_1,\dots,v_M|x_1,x_2,x_3|a_{12})\\ =&\frac{[1+u_3-u_2]}{[1+u_2-u_3]}W_{M,3}(u_1,u_3,u_2|v_1,\dots,v_M|x_1,x_2,x_3|a_{12})\\ =&\frac{[1+u_3-u_2]}{[1+u_2-u_3]}\frac{[1+u_3-u_1]}{[1+u_1-u_3]}W_{M,3}(u_3,u_1,u_2|v_1,\dots,v_M|x_1,x_2,x_3|a_{12}).\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

Let us show Property (3) for the case $x_N=M$. Before showing this, we remark that from Property (1), the wavefunctions $W_{M,N}(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_M|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12})$ is an elliptic polynomial of degree N in v_M, and the properties of the elliptic polynomials listed in

Proposition 2.1 allow us to use the Izergin-Korepin trick. If one relates the evaluations of the wavefunctions at N distinct points in v_M with smaller ones, and if one can find the functions satisfying the same recursion, then the Izergin-Korepin method is successful. One should keep in mind that for the case of the wavefunctions, one has another case $x_N \neq M$, which we must take into account. We also need Property (4) to assure the uniqueness, since this property corresponds to the initial condition of the recursion relation. (3.2) is the result of the evaluation of the wavefunctions at the point $v_M = u_N$. The other $(N-1)$ points $v_M = u_j$, $j = 1, \ldots, N-1$ can be evaluated using Property (2), hence if one shows that certain functions satisfy Property (2), and the evaluation at $v_M = u_N$, the proof of the recursion relation for the case $x_N = M$ is succeeded. This will be performed in the next section.

One can show the recursion relation (3.2) by using the graphical representation of the wavefunctions $W_{M,N}(u_1, \ldots, u_N | v_1, \ldots, v_M | x_1, \ldots, x_N | a_{12})$ (see Figure 8). If one sets $v_M = u_N$ and use $W\left(\begin{array}{cc} \overrightarrow{a} & \overrightarrow{a} + \hat{e}_1 \\ \overrightarrow{a} + \hat{e}_2 & \overrightarrow{a} + \hat{e}_1 + \hat{e}_2 \end{array} \middle| u_N \middle| v_M \right) \Big|_{v_M = u_N} = 0$, one first finds that the state vectors around the face of the top right corner freeze. Continuing graphical considerations, one finds that all the state vectors at the rightmost column and the top row freeze. One can also see that the state of the top left corner of remaining unfreezed part is now $\overrightarrow{a_{12}} + \hat{e}_1$, thus the unfreezed part is the wavefunction $W_{M-1,N-1}(u_1, \ldots, u_{N-1} | v_1, \ldots, v_{M-1} | x_1, \ldots, x_{N-1} | a_{12} + 1)$. Hence, the wavefunctions of the face model $W_{M,N}(u_1, \ldots, u_N | v_1, \ldots, v_M | x_1, \ldots, x_N | a_{12})$ evaluated at $v_M = u_N$ can be given as the product of the weights of the freezed faces and a smaller wavefunction $W_{M-1,N-1}(u_1, \ldots, u_{N-1} | v_1, \ldots, v_{M-1} | x_1, \ldots, x_{N-1} | a_{12} + 1)$, and we get

$$\begin{aligned} & W_{M,N}(u_1, \ldots, u_N | v_1, \ldots, v_M | x_1, \ldots, x_N | a_{12})|_{v_M = u_N} \\ = & \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} \frac{[1 - u_j + u_N]}{[1]} \prod_{j=1}^{M-1} \frac{[1 + u_N - v_j]}{[1]} \\ & \times W_{M-1,N-1}(u_1, \ldots, u_{N-1} | v_1, \ldots, v_{M-1} | x_1, \ldots, x_{N-1} | a_{12} + 1). \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

Property (3) for the case $x_N \neq M$ can be easily shown from its graphical representation (Figure 9) . One finds the rightmost column freeze, and the remaining unfreezed part is the wavefunctions $W_{M-1,N}(u_1, \ldots, u_N | v_1, \ldots, v_{M-1} | x_1, \ldots, x_N | a_{12})$. Thus, we find that the wavefunctions $W_{M,N}(u_1, \ldots, u_N | v_1, \ldots, v_M | x_1, \ldots, x_N | a_{12})$ is the product of a smaller wavefunction $W_{M-1,N}(u_1, \ldots, u_N | v_1, \ldots, v_{M-1} | x_1, \ldots, x_N | a_{12})$ and the product of the weights of the configurations of faces at the freezed rightmost column

$$\begin{aligned} & W\left(\begin{array}{cc} \overrightarrow{a_{12}} + (M-1)\hat{e}_1 + (j-1)\hat{e}_2 & \overrightarrow{a_{12}} + M\hat{e}_1 + (j-1)\hat{e}_2 \\ \overrightarrow{a_{12}} + (M-1)\hat{e}_1 + j\hat{e}_2 & \overrightarrow{a_{12}} + M\hat{e}_1 + j\hat{e}_2 \end{array} \middle| u_{N+1-j} \middle| v_M \right) \\ = & \frac{[u_{N+1-j} - v_M][a_{21} - (M-1) - j]}{[1][a_{21} - (M-1) - j + 1]}, \quad j = 1, \ldots, N, \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

and we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&W_{M,N}(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_M|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12})\\
=&\prod_{j=1}^{N}\frac{[u_{N+1-j}-v_M][a_{21}-(M-1)-j]}{[1][a_{21}-(M-1)-j+1]}W_{M-1,N}(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_{M-1}|x_1,\dots,x_N)\\
=&\frac{[a_{21}-M-N+1]}{[a_{21}-M+1]}\prod_{j=1}^{N}\frac{[u_j-v_M]}{[1]}W_{M-1,N}(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_{M-1}|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12}). \quad (3.22)
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows Property (3) for the case $x_N \neq M$.

Property (4) can also be proved in the same way with Property (3). One immedaiately sees that all the state vectors freeze, and multiplying the corresponding weights give

$$
W_{M,1}(u|v_1,\dots,v_M|M|a_{12}) = \frac{[-u+v_M+a_{12}+M-1]}{[a_{12}+M-1]}\prod_{k=1}^{M-1}\frac{[1+u-v_k]}{[1]}. \quad (3.23)
$$

□

Before going to the next section, we explain here why the Izergin-Korepin analysis uniquely defines the wavefunctions. The essential part of the idea of the Izergin-Korepin analysis is to relate the wavefunctions $W_{M,N}(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_M|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12})$ with smaller ones by using the properties of the elliptic polynomials (Proposition 2.1). We view the wavefunctions $W_{M,N}(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_M|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12})$ as a polynomial of a single variable v_M. Property (1) in Proposition 3.1 tells us that $W_{M,N}(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_M|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12})$ is an elliptic polynomial of v_M in $\Theta_N(\chi)$. If $x_N = M$, one can evaluate the wavefunction at the following N points $v_M = u_j$, $j = 1,\dots,N$ and connect with smaller wavefunctions. The evaluation at $v_M = u_N$ can be calculated with the help of its graphical description and can be connected with the smaller wavefuntion $W_{M-1,N-1}(u_1,\dots,u_{N-1}|v_1,\dots,v_{M-1}|x_1,\dots,x_{N-1}|a_{12})$, which is (3.2) of Property (3). The evaluations at the other $(N-1)$ points $v_M = u_j$, $j = 1,\dots,N-1$ can be obtained from the evaluation at $v_M = u_N$ using Property (2).

For the case of wavefunctions, we also have to consider the case when $x_N \neq M$. For this case, it is easier to connect the wavefunctions from its graphical description, and we have the factorization (3.3), in which case the smaller wavefunctions connected is different from the case $x_N = M$ and is $W_{M-1,N}(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_{M-1}|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12})$.

In both cases $x_N = M$ and $x_N \neq M$, we can connect the wavefunctions of the face model of different sizes, and continuing this process is essentially constructing recursion relations between the wavefunctions. For the Izergin-Korepin analysis to be successful such that it gives the uniqueness of the wavefunctions, we finally need the initial condition for the recursion relations, which is Property (4) in Proposition 3.1. If one finds an explicit function satisfying all the properties listed in Proposition 3.1, it is nothing but the function representing the wavefunctions.

4 Elliptic multivariable functions

In this section, we first introduce the following elliptic Schur-type symmetric function. The symmetric function, together with the elliptic factors represents the wavefunctions of the elliptic supersymmetric integrable model.

Definition 4.1. We define the following symmetric function
$E_{M,N}(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_M|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12})$ which depends on the symmetric variables $u_1,\dots,u_N$, complex parameters $v_1,\dots,v_M$, a complex parameter a_{12} and integers $x_1,\dots,x_N$ satisfying $1\le x_1<\cdots<x_N\le M$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&E_{M,N}(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_M|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12})\\
=&\prod_{k=1}^{N}\prod_{x_{k+1}^M-2\ge j\ge x_k^M}\frac{[a_{12}+j+N]}{[a_{12}+j+N-k]}\sum_{\sigma\in S_N}\prod_{1\le j<k\le N}\frac{[1]}{[u_{\sigma(j)}-u_{\sigma(k)}]}\prod_{j=1}^{N}\prod_{k=x_j+1}^{M}\frac{[u_{\sigma(j)}-v_k]}{[1]}\\
&\times\prod_{j=1}^{N}\frac{[-u_{\sigma(j)}+v_{x_j}+a_{12}+x_j+N-2]}{[a_{12}+x_j+N-2]}\prod_{j=1}^{N}\prod_{k=1}^{x_j-1}\frac{[1+u_{\sigma(j)}-v_k]}{[1]}. \qquad (4.1)
\end{aligned}$$

Here, x_k^M, $k=1,\dots,N+1$ is defined as $x_{N+1}^M=M+1$ and $x_k^M=x_k$, $k=1,\dots,N$.

One can note that the expression of the multivariable elliptic function (4.1) can also be written in the Schur-like determinant form

$$\begin{aligned}
&E_{M,N}(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_M|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12})\\
=&\prod_{k=1}^{N}\prod_{x_{k+1}^M-2\ge j\ge x_k^M}\frac{[a_{12}+j+N]}{[a_{12}+j+N-k]}\prod_{1\le j<k\le N}\frac{[1]}{[u_j-u_k]}\det_N(f_{x_j}(u_k|v_1,\dots,v_M)), \qquad (4.2)
\end{aligned}$$

$$f_x(u|v_1,\dots,v_M)=\frac{[-u+v_x+a_{12}+x+N-2]}{[a_{12}+x+N-2]}\prod_{k=1}^{x_j-1}\frac{[1+u-v_k]}{[1]}\prod_{k=x_j+1}^{M}\frac{[u-v_k]}{[1]}. \qquad (4.3)$$

For the proof of the following Theorem, the expression (4.1) is convenient. We find that there is a correspondence between the wavefunctions of the Deguchi-Martin $gl(1|1)$ model and the elliptic multivariable functions using the elliptic symmetric functions
$E_{M,N}(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_M|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12})$ and elliptic factors.

Theorem 4.2. *The wavefunctions of the elliptic supersymmetric integrable model* $W_{M,N}(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_M|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12})$ *is explicitly expressed as the product of elliptic factors* $\displaystyle\prod_{1\le j<k\le N}\frac{[1+u_k-u_j]}{[1]}$ *and the symmetric function*
$E_{M,N}(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_M|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12})$

$$\begin{aligned}
&W_{M,N}(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_M|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12})\\
=&\prod_{1\le j<k\le N}\frac{[1+u_k-u_j]}{[1]}E_{M,N}(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_M|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12}). \qquad (4.4)
\end{aligned}$$

The correspondence between the wavefunctions of integrable models and the product of factors and symmetric functions was first obtained for the trigonometric free-fermionic vertex models by Bump-Brubaker-Friedberg [25] and Bump-Mcnamara-Nakasuji [33], where the wavefunctions are the product of the deformed Vandermonde determinant and (factorial) Schur functions, from which the Tokuyama formula was realized in the language of integrable vertex models. Theorem 4.2 can be regarded as an elliptic analog of the correspondence.

Proof. We denote the right hand side of (4.4) as $G_{M,N}(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_M|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12})$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&G_{M,N}(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_M|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12})\\
:=&\prod_{1\le j<k\le N}\frac{[1+u_k-u_j]}{[1]}E_{M,N}(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_M|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12})\\
=&\prod_{1\le j<k\le N}\frac{[1+u_k-u_j]}{[1]}\prod_{k=1}^{N}\prod_{x_{k+1}^M-2\ge j\ge x_k^M}\frac{[a_{12}+j+N]}{[a_{12}+j+N-k]}\\
&\times\sum_{\sigma\in S_N}\prod_{1\le j<k\le N}\frac{[1]}{[u_{\sigma(j)}-u_{\sigma(k)}]}\prod_{j=1}^{N}\prod_{k=x_j+1}^{M}\frac{[u_{\sigma(j)}-v_k]}{[1]}\\
&\times\prod_{j=1}^{N}\frac{[-u_{\sigma(j)}+v_{x_j}+a_{12}+x_j+N-2]}{[a_{12}+x_j+N-2]}\prod_{j=1}^{N}\prod_{k=1}^{x_j-1}\frac{[1+u_{\sigma(j)}-v_k]}{[1]}.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.5}$$

We prove Theorem 4.2 by showing that $G_{M,N}(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_M|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12})$ satisfies all the four Properties in Proposition 3.1 obtained from the Izergin-Korepin analysis.

To show Property (1), we first collect all the factors which have dependence on v_M in $G_{M,N}(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_M|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12})$ when $x_N=M$. We find that all the factors are $\prod_{j=1}^{N-1}[u_{\sigma(j)}-v_M]$ and $[-u_{\sigma(N)}+v_M+a_{12}+N+M-2]$ for each summand. Let us denote the product of factors as $f_\sigma(v_M)$:

$$f_\sigma(v_M)=[-u_{\sigma(N)}+v_M+a_{12}+N+M-2]\prod_{j=1}^{N-1}[u_{\sigma(j)}-v_M].\tag{4.6}$$

Using (2.10) and (2.11), we can calculate the quasi-periodicities of the function $f_\sigma(v_M)$

$$f_\sigma(v_M+2K_1/\lambda)=(-1)^N f_\sigma(v_M),\tag{4.7}$$

$$f_\sigma(v_M+2iK_2/\lambda)=(-q^{-1})^N\exp\left(-\frac{i\pi\lambda}{K_1}\left(Nv_M-\sum_{j=1}^{N}u_j+a_{12}+N+M-2\right)\right)f_\sigma(v_M).\tag{4.8}$$

The quasi-periodicities (4.7) and (4.8) do not depend on the permutation σ, hence all of the summands of $G_{M,N}(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_M|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12})$ have the same quasi-periodicities. Thus, we have the quasi-periodicities for $G_{M,N}(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_M|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12})$

$$\begin{aligned}
&G_{M,N}(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_M+2K_1/\lambda|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12})\\
=&(-1)^N G_{M,N}(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_M|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12}),
\end{aligned}\tag{4.9}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&G_{M,N}(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_M+2iK_2/\lambda|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12})\\
=&(-q^{-1})^N\exp\left(-\frac{i\pi\lambda}{K_1}\left(Nv_M-\sum_{j=1}^{N}u_j+a_{12}+N+M-2\right)\right)\\
&\times G_{M,N}(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_M|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12}).
\end{aligned}\tag{4.10}$$

The quasi-periodicities (4.9) and (4.10) for $G_{M,N}(u_1,\ldots,u_N|v_1,\ldots,v_M|x_1,\ldots,x_N|a_{12})$ are exactly the same with the quasi-periodicities (3.12) and (3.13) for the wavefunctions $W_{M,N}(u_1,\ldots,u_N|v_1,\ldots,v_M|x_1,\ldots,x_N|a_{12})$, hence Property (1) is proved.

To show Property (2), one first notes that $E_{M,N}(u_1,\ldots,u_N|v_1,\ldots,v_M|x_1,\ldots,x_N|a_{12})$, which consists a part of $G_{M,N}(u_1,\ldots,u_N|v_1,\ldots,v_M|x_1,\ldots,x_N|a_{12})$, is a symmetric function of $u_1,\ldots,u_N$ by its definition (4.1). This of course means

$$\begin{aligned}&E_{M,N}(u_1,\ldots,u_N|v_1,\ldots,v_M|x_1,\ldots,x_N|a_{12})\\ =&E_{M,N}(u_{\sigma(1)},\ldots,u_{\sigma(N)}|v_1,\ldots,v_M|x_1,\ldots,x_N|a_{12}).\end{aligned}\tag{4.11}$$

Then we concentrate on the other factor $\displaystyle\prod_{1\le j<k\le N}\frac{[1+u_k-u_j]}{[1]}$ which is the other part constructing the function $G_{M,N}(u_1,\ldots,u_N|v_1,\ldots,v_M|x_1,\ldots,x_N|a_{12})$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}&\prod_{\substack{1\le j<k\le N\\ \sigma(j)>\sigma(k)}}[1+u_{\sigma(k)}-u_{\sigma(j)}]\prod_{1\le j<k\le N}\frac{[1+u_k-u_j]}{[1]}\\ =&\prod_{\substack{1\le j<k\le N\\ \sigma(j)>\sigma(k)}}[1+u_{\sigma(j)}-u_{\sigma(k)}]\prod_{1\le j<k\le N}\frac{[1+u_{\sigma(k)}-u_{\sigma(j)}]}{[1]}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.12}$$

Since $G_{M,N}(u_1,\ldots,u_N|v_1,\ldots,v_M|x_1,\ldots,x_N|a_{12})$ is defined as the product of $\displaystyle\prod_{1\le j<k\le N}\frac{[1+u_k-u_j]}{[1]}$ and $E_{M,N}(u_1,\ldots,u_N|v_1,\ldots,v_M|x_1,\ldots,x_N|a_{12})$, one has the following relation from (4.11) and (4.12)

$$\begin{aligned}&\prod_{\substack{1\le j<k\le N\\ \sigma(j)>\sigma(k)}}[1+u_{\sigma(k)}-u_{\sigma(j)}]G_{M,N}(u_1,\ldots,u_N|v_1,\ldots,v_M|x_1,\ldots,x_N|a_{12})\\ =&\prod_{\substack{1\le j<k\le N\\ \sigma(j)>\sigma(k)}}[1+u_{\sigma(j)}-u_{\sigma(k)}]G_{M,N}(u_{\sigma(1)},\ldots,u_{\sigma(N)}|v_1,\ldots,v_M|x_1,\ldots,x_N|a_{12}).\end{aligned}\tag{4.13}$$

Thus, the multivariable functions $G_{M,N}(u_1,\ldots,u_N|v_1,\ldots,v_M|x_1,\ldots,x_N|a_{12})$ and the wavefunctions $W_{M,N}(u_1,\ldots,u_N|v_1,\ldots,v_M|x_1,\ldots,x_N|a_{12})$ satisfy the same exchange relation, and Property (2) is proved.

Next we show Property (3). We first consider the case $x_N=M$ in which we must prove that the function $G_{M,N}(u_1,\ldots,u_N|v_1,\ldots,v_M|x_1,\ldots,x_N|a_{12})$ satisfies (3.2). First, one notes that the expression of the factor

$$\prod_{j=1}^{N}\prod_{k=x_j+1}^{M}\frac{[u_{\sigma(j)}-v_k]}{[1]},\tag{4.14}$$

in each summand is redundant when $x_N=M$, and it is essentially

$$\prod_{j=1}^{N-1}\prod_{k=x_j+1}^{M}\frac{[u_{\sigma(j)}-v_k]}{[1]}.\tag{4.15}$$

Looking at the part $\prod_{j=1}^{N-1} \frac{[u_{\sigma(j)} - v_M]}{[1]}$ from (4.15), one finds this factor vanishes unless σ satisfies $\sigma(N) = N$ if one substitutes $v_M = u_N$.

Therefore, we only need to take into account the summands satisfying $\sigma(N) = N$ in (4.1) which survive after the substitution $v_M = u_N$. Also, note that the factor

$$\prod_{k=1}^{N} \prod_{x_{k+1}^M - 2 \geq j \geq x_k^M} \frac{[a_{12} + j + N]}{[a_{12} + j + N - k]}, \tag{4.16}$$

is essentially

$$\prod_{k=1}^{N-1} \prod_{x_{k+1}^M - 2 \geq j \geq x_k^M} \frac{[a_{12} + j + N]}{[a_{12} + j + N - k]}, \tag{4.17}$$

for the case $x_N = M$ since $x_{N+1}^M = M + 1$ and $x_N^M = M$.

Then one can rewrite the multivariable function $G_{M,N}(u_1, \ldots, u_N | v_1, \ldots, v_M | x_1, \ldots, x_N | a_{12})$ evaluated at $v_M = u_N$ by using the symmetric group S_{N-1} where every $\sigma' \in S_{N-1}$ satisfies $\{\sigma'(1), \cdots, \sigma'(N-1)\} = \{1, \cdots, N-1\}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&G_{M,N}(u_1, \ldots, u_N | v_1, \ldots, v_M | x_1, \ldots, x_N | a_{12})|_{v_M = u_N} \\
&= \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq N-1} \frac{[1 + u_k - u_j]}{[1]} \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} \frac{[1 + u_N - u_j]}{[1]} \prod_{k=1}^{N-1} \prod_{x_{k+1}^M - 2 \geq j \geq x_k^M} \frac{[a_{12} + j + N]}{[a_{12} + j + N - k]} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{\sigma' \in S_{N-1}} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq N-1} \frac{[1]}{[u_{\sigma'(j)} - u_{\sigma'(k)}]} \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} \frac{[1]}{[u_{\sigma'(j)} - u_N]} \\
&\quad \times \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} \prod_{k=x_j+1}^{M-1} \frac{[u_{\sigma'(j)} - v_k]}{[1]} \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} \frac{[u_{\sigma'(j)} - u_N]}{[1]} \\
&\quad \times \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} \frac{[-u_{\sigma'(j)} + v_{x_j} + (a_{12} + 1) + x_j + (N-1) - 2]}{[(a_{12} + 1) + x_j + (N-1) - 2]} \qquad (4.18) \\
&\quad \times \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} \prod_{k=1}^{x_j - 1} \frac{[1 + u_{\sigma'(j)} - v_k]}{[1]} \prod_{k=1}^{M-1} \frac{[1 + u_N - v_k]}{[1]}. \qquad (4.19)
\end{aligned}$$

Rewriting the factor

$$\prod_{k=1}^{N-1} \prod_{x_{k+1}^M - 2 \geq j \geq x_k^M} \frac{[a_{12} + j + N]}{[a_{12} + j + N - k]} = \prod_{k=1}^{N-1} \prod_{x_{k+1}^{M-1} - 2 \geq j \geq x_k^{M-1}} \frac{[(a_{12} + 1) + j + (N-1)]}{[(a_{12} + 1) + j + (N-1) - k]}, \tag{4.20}$$

and cancelling factors, one finds that (4.19) can be rewritten furthermore as

$$
\begin{aligned}
&G_{M,N}(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_M|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12})|_{v_M=u_N}\\
=&\prod_{j=1}^{N-1}\frac{[1+u_N-u_j]}{[1]}\prod_{k=1}^{M-1}\frac{[1+u_N-v_k]}{[1]}\\
&\times\prod_{1\le j<k\le N-1}\frac{[1+u_k-u_j]}{[1]}\prod_{k=1}^{N-1}\prod_{x_{k+1}^{M-1}-2\ge j\ge x_k^{M-1}}\frac{[(a_{12}+1)+j+(N-1)]}{[(a_{12}+1)+j+(N-1)-k]}\\
&\times\sum_{\sigma'\in S_{N-1}}\prod_{1\le j<k\le N-1}\frac{[1]}{[u_{\sigma'(j)}-u_{\sigma'(k)}]}\prod_{j=1}^{N-1}\prod_{k=x_j+1}^{M-1}\frac{[u_{\sigma'(j)}-v_k]}{[1]}\\
&\times\prod_{j=1}^{N-1}\frac{[-u_{\sigma'(j)}+v_{x_j}+(a_{12}+1)+x_j+(N-1)-2]}{[(a_{12}+1)+x_j+(N-1)-2]}\prod_{j=1}^{N-1}\prod_{k=1}^{x_j-1}\frac{[1+u_{\sigma'(j)}-v_k]}{[1]}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.21}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\prod_{1\le j<k\le N-1}\frac{[1+u_k-u_j]}{[1]}\prod_{k=1}^{N-1}\prod_{x_{k+1}^{M-1}-2\ge j\ge x_k^{M-1}}\frac{[(a_{12}+1)+j+(N-1)]}{[(a_{12}+1)+j+(N-1)-k]}\\
&\times\sum_{\sigma'\in S_{N-1}}\prod_{1\le j<k\le N-1}\frac{[1]}{[u_{\sigma'(j)}-u_{\sigma'(k)}]}\prod_{j=1}^{N-1}\prod_{k=x_j+1}^{M-1}\frac{[u_{\sigma'(j)}-v_k]}{[1]}\\
&\times\prod_{j=1}^{N-1}\frac{[-u_{\sigma'(j)}+v_{x_j}+(a_{12}+1)+x_j+(N-1)-2]}{[(a_{12}+1)+x_j+(N-1)-2]}\prod_{j=1}^{N-1}\prod_{k=1}^{x_j-1}\frac{[1+u_{\sigma'(j)}-v_k]}{[1]}\\
=&G_{M-1,N-1}(u_1,\dots,u_{N-1}|v_1,\dots,v_{M-1}|x_1,\dots,x_{N-1}|a_{12}+1),
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.22}
$$

one finds that (4.21) is exactly the following recursion relation for the function $G_{M,N}(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_M|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&G_{M,N}(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_M|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12})|_{v_M=u_N}\\
=&\prod_{j=1}^{N-1}\frac{[1+u_N-u_j]}{[1]}\prod_{k=1}^{M-1}\frac{[1+u_N-v_k]}{[1]}\\
&\times G_{M-1,N-1}(u_1,\dots,u_{N-1}|v_1,\dots,v_{M-1}|x_1,\dots,x_{N-1}|a_{12}+1).
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.23}
$$

Thus we have shown that the functions $G_{M,N}(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_M|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12})$ satisfy the same relation (3.2) with the wavefunctions $W_{M,N}(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_M|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12})$, hence Property (3) for the case $x_N=M$ is shown.

Next, we examine the case $x_N\neq M$. This can be shown in a much simpler way. We

rewrite $G_{M,N}(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_M|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12})$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
&G_{M,N}(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_M|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12})\\
=&\prod_{1\le j<k\le N}\frac{[1+u_k-u_j]}{[1]}\frac{[a_{12}+M-1+N]}{[a_{12}+M-1]}\prod_{k=1}^{N}\prod_{x_{k+1}^{M-1}-2\ge j\ge x_k^{M-1}}\frac{[a_{12}+j+N]}{[a_{12}+j+N-k]}\\
&\times\sum_{\sigma\in S_N}\prod_{1\le j<k\le N}\frac{[1]}{[u_{\sigma(j)}-u_{\sigma(k)}]}\prod_{j=1}^{N}\prod_{k=x_j+1}^{M-1}\frac{[u_{\sigma(j)}-v_k]}{[1]}\prod_{j=1}^{N}\frac{[u_{\sigma(j)}-v_M]}{[1]}\\
&\times\prod_{j=1}^{N}\frac{[-u_{\sigma(j)}+v_{x_j}+a_{12}+x_j-N-2]}{[a_{12}+x_j+N-2]}\prod_{j=1}^{N}\prod_{k=1}^{x_j-1}\frac{[1+u_{\sigma(j)}-v_k]}{[1]}.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.24}$$

We use the identity

$$\prod_{j=1}^{N}\frac{[u_{\sigma(j)}-v_M]}{[1]}=\prod_{j=1}^{N}\frac{[u_j-v_M]}{[1]},\tag{4.25}$$

to take this factor out of the sum in (4.24) and we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&G_{M,N}(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_M|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12})\\
=&\frac{[a_{21}-M-N+1]}{[a_{21}-M+1]}\prod_{j=1}^{N}\frac{[u_j-v_M]}{[1]}\\
&\times\prod_{1\le j<k\le N}\frac{[1+u_k-u_j]}{[1]}\prod_{k=1}^{N}\prod_{x_{k+1}^{M-1}-2\ge j\ge x_k^{M-1}}\frac{[a_{12}+j+N]}{[a_{12}+j+N-k]}\\
&\times\sum_{\sigma\in S_N}\prod_{1\le j<k\le N}\frac{[1]}{[u_{\sigma(j)}-u_{\sigma(k)}]}\prod_{j=1}^{N}\prod_{k=x_j+1}^{M-1}\frac{[u_{\sigma(j)}-v_k]}{[1]}\\
&\times\prod_{j=1}^{N}\frac{[-u_{\sigma(j)}+v_{x_j}+a_{12}+x_j-N-2]}{[a_{12}+x_j+N-2]}\prod_{j=1}^{N}\prod_{k=1}^{x_j-1}\frac{[1+u_{\sigma(j)}-v_k]}{[1]}\\
=&\frac{[a_{21}-M-N+1]}{[a_{21}-M+1]}\prod_{j=1}^{N}\frac{[u_j-v_M]}{[1]}G_{M-1,N}(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_{M-1}|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12}).
\end{aligned}\tag{4.26}$$

Note here that we have also used $a_{12}=-a_{21}$ and $[-u]=-[u]$. (4.26) is the exactly the same recursion relation for the wavefunctions $W_{M,N}(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_M|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12})$ must satisfy for the case $x_N\neq M$.

What remains is to check that $G_{M,N}(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_M|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12})$ satisfies Property (4), which is trivial to check from its definition (4.1).

Now we have proved that the elliptic function $G_{M,N}(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_M|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12})$ satisfies all the Properties (1), (2), (3) and (4) in Proposition 3.1, it is the explicit form of the wavefunctions
$W_{M,N}(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_M|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12})=G_{M,N}(u_1,\dots,u_N|v_1,\dots,v_M|x_1,\dots,x_N|a_{12})$. □

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we introduced and investigated the $gl(1|1)$ Deguchi-Martin model by using the Izergin-Korepin analysis. We viewed the wavefunctions as an elliptic polynomial and extracted the properties the wavefunctions satisfy. We next proved that the wavefunctions are expressed as a certain multivariable elliptic functions, which is a product of elliptic factors and elliptic Schur-type symmetric functions. This result resembles the ones for the trigonometric model whose wavefunctions are proved by Bump-Brubaker-Friedberg in [25] and by Bump-McNamara-Nakasuji in [33] to be given as the product of a one-parameter deformation of the Vandermonde determinant and the Schur functions and factorial Schur functions, respectively. The result obtained in this paper can be viewed as an elliptic analogue of those results for the trigonometric model. The result obtained in this paper can be used to construct new algebraic identities for elliptic multivariable functions which will be reported elsewhere. To explore the connections with number theory seems to be an interesting topic.

It is also interesting to extend the study of wavefunctions of elliptic Deguchi-Martin model to other boundary conditions. For example, if one changes the boundary condition of the free-fermionic model to the reflecting boundary condition, other types of symmetric functions such as the symplectic Schur functions [30, 31, 38] appear. Therefore, one can expect that elliptic analogues of the symplectic Schur functions appear by generalizing the models from trigonometric to elliptic ones.

Acknowledgements

The author thanks Prof. Korepin for discussions about the Izergin-Korepin method and warm encouragement. This work was partially supported by grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) No. 16K05468.

References

[1] Bethe H 1931 *Z. Phys.* **71** 205

[2] Faddeev L D, Sklyanin E K and Takhtajan E K 1979 *Theor. Math. Phys.* **40** 194

[3] Baxter R J 1982 *Exactly Solved Models in Statistical Mechanics* (Academic Press, London)

[4] Korepin V E, Bogoliubov N M and Izergin A G 1993 *Quantum Inverse Scattering Method and Correlation functions* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge)

[5] Drinfeld V 1985 *Sov. Math. Dokl.* **32** 254

[6] Jimbo M 1985 *Lett. Math. Phys.* **10** 63

[7] Baxter R J 1972 *Ann. Phys.* **70** 193

[8] Felder G 1995 *Proceedings of the XIth International Congress of Mathematical Physics (Paris, 1994)* pp. 211-218 (International Press, Boston)

[9] Felder G and Varchenko V 1996 *Commun. Math. Phys.* **181** 741

[10] Konno H 1998 *Commun. Math. Phys.* **195** 373

[11] Konno H 2009 *J. Geom. Phys.* **59** 1485

[12] Jimbo M, Konno H, Odake S and Shiraishi J 1999 *Trans. Groups* **4** 303

[13] Rosengren H 2009 *Adv. Appl. Math.* **43** 137

[14] Pakuliak S, Rubtsov V and Silantyev A 2008 *J. Phys. A:Math. Theor.* **41** 295204

[15] Filali F and Kitanine N 2010 *J. Stat. Mech.* L06001

[16] Yang W-L and Zhang Y-Z 2009 *J. Math. Phys.* **50** 083518

[17] Yang W-L, Chen X, Feng J, Hao K, Shi K-J, Sun C-Y, Yang Z-Y and Zhang Y-Z 2011 *Nucl. Phys. B* **847** 367

[18] Yang W-L, Chen X, Feng J, Hao K, Wu K, Yang Z-Y and Zhang Y-Z 2011 *Nucl. Phys. B* **848** 523

[19] Galleas W 2012 *Nucl. Phys. B* **858** 117

[20] Galleas W 2013 *Nucl. Phys. B* **867** 855

[21] Borodin A Symmetric elliptic functions, IRF models, and dynamic exclusion processes, arXiv:1701.05239

[22] Deguchi T and Martin P 1992 *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **7** Suppl. 1A 165

[23] Perk J-H-H and Schultz C L 1981 *Phys. Lett. A* **84**, 407

[24] Yamane H 1999 *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **35** 321

[25] Brubaker B, Bump D and Friedberg S 2011 *Commun. Math. Phys.* **308** 281

[26] Tokuyama T 1988 *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **40** 671

[27] Okada S 1993 *J. Algebraic Comb.* **2** 155

[28] Hamel A and King R C 2002 *J. Algebraic Comb.* **16** 269

[29] Hamel A and King R C 2005 *J. Algebraic Comb.* **21** 395

[30] Ivanov D 2012 *Symplectic Ice.* in: Multiple Dirichlet series, L-functions and automorphic forms, vol 300 of Progr. Math. Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 205-222

[31] Brubaker B, Bump D, Chinta G and Gunnells P E 2012 *Metaplectic Whittaker Functions and Crystals of Type B.* in: Multiple Dirichlet series, L-functions and automorphic forms, vol 300 of Progr. Math. Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 93-118

[32] Tabony S J 2011 *Deformations of characters, metaplectic Whittaker functions and the Yang-Baxter equation,* PhD. Thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, USA

[33] Bump D, McNamara P and Nakasuji M 2014 *Comm. Math. Univ. St. Pauli* **63** 23

[34] Hamel A M and King R C 2015 *Elect. J. Comb.* **22** 2 P2.42

[35] Brubaker B and Schultz 2015 *J. Alg. Comb.* **42**, 917

[36] Brubaker B, Buciumas V and Bump D A Yang-Baxter equation for metaplectic ice, arXiv:1604.02206

[37] Motegi K Dual wavefunction of the Felderhof model, 2017 *Lett. Math. Phys.* 1

[38] Motegi K Dual wavefunction of the symplectic ice, arXiv:1703.01395

[39] Korepin V E 1982 *Commun. Math. Phys.* **86** 391

[40] Izergin A 1987 *Sov. Phys. Dokl.* **32** 878

[41] Felderhof B U 1973 *Physica* **65** 421

[42] Zhao S-Y and Zhang Y-Z 2007 *J. Math. Phys.* **48** 023504

[43] Foda O, Caradoc A D, Wheeler M, and Zuparic M L 2007 *J. Stat. Mech.* **0703** P03010

[44] Zhao S-Y, Yang W-L, and Zhang Y-Z 2006 *J. Math. Phys.* **47** 013302

[45] Foda O, Wheeler M and Zuparic M 2008 *J. Stat. Mech.* P02001

[46] Zuparic M Studies in Integrable Quantum Lattice Models and Classical Hierarchies PhD Thesis, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, The University of Melbourne, arXiv:0908.3936

[47] Motegi K Symmetric functions and wavefunctions of the six-vertex model by Izergin-Korepin analysis, arXiv:1703.07924

[48] Felder G and Schorr A 1999 *J. Phys. A: Math.Gen.* **32** 8001

[49] Andrews G E, Baxter R J and Forrester P J 1984 *J. Stat. Phys.* **35** 193